# Recognizing Straight Skeletons and Voronoi Diagrams and Reconstructing Their Input

Therese Biedl<sup>1</sup> Martin Held<sup>2</sup> <u>Stefan Huber<sup>3</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science University of Waterloo, Canada

> <sup>2</sup>FB Computerwissenschaften Universität Salzburg

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Science and Technology Austria

May 28, 2013

(日) (同) (目) (日) (日) (000 (00))

The Voronoi diagram can be interpreted as the interference pattern of a wavefront propagation process.



(a) Voronoi diagram



(b) straight skeleton

The Voronoi diagram can be interpreted as the interference pattern of a wavefront propagation process.



- The straight skeleton is defined by interference patterns of "mitered-offset curves".
- Introduced by [Aichholzer et al., 1995].

- Topological changes (events) during the wavefront propagation:
  - Edge event: a wavefront edge shrinks to zero length.
  - Split event: a reflex wavefront vertex splits another wavefront edge.



- Topological changes (events) during the wavefront propagation:
  - Edge event: a wavefront edge shrinks to zero length.
  - Split event: a reflex wavefront vertex splits another wavefront edge.



- Topological changes (events) during the wavefront propagation:
  - Edge event: a wavefront edge shrinks to zero length.
  - Split event: a reflex wavefront vertex splits another wavefront edge.



## Straight skeleton of a PSLG

- [Aichholzer and Aurenhammer, 1998]: straight skeleton S(G) of a PSLG G
  - Each input edge sends out two parallel wavefront copies.
    - Each terminal vertex sends out an additional wavefront edge.



# Straight skeleton of a PSLG

- [Aichholzer and Aurenhammer, 1998]: straight skeleton S(G) of a PSLG G
  - Each input edge sends out two parallel wavefront copies.
    - Each terminal vertex sends out an additional wavefront edge.



## Straight skeleton of a PSLG

- [Aichholzer and Aurenhammer, 1998]: straight skeleton S(G) of a PSLG G
  - Each input edge sends out two parallel wavefront copies.
    - Each terminal vertex sends out an additional wavefront edge.



< 口 > < 同

## Applications



... and many more.

#### Problem statement

 $\mathsf{PSLG}^\infty$ : edges may be straight-line segments or rays. All rays are incident to a single vertex at infinity.

Problem (GMP-SS)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a PSLG H such that S(H) = G?



#### Problem statement

 $\mathsf{PSLG}^\infty$ : edges may be straight-line segments or rays. All rays are incident to a single vertex at infinity.

#### Problem (GMP-SS)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a PSLG H such that S(H) = G?



#### Problem statement

 $\mathsf{PSLG}^\infty$ : edges may be straight-line segments or rays. All rays are incident to a single vertex at infinity.

Problem (GMP-SS)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a PSLG H such that S(H) = G?



#### Problem [Aichholzer et al., 1995]

Give necessary and sufficient conditions for G to be the straight skeleton of H.

#### Prior work

[Aichholzer et al., 2012]:

- ▶ Any abstract tree T can be realized as S(P) (or V(P)) of a convex polygon.
- ▶ Realizability of phylogenetic trees T as S(P) of a polygon P.

#### Prior work

[Aichholzer et al., 2012]:

- Any abstract tree T can be realized as S(P) (or V(P)) of a convex polygon.
- Realizability of phylogenetic trees T as S(P) of a polygon P.

Characterizations of straight skeletons:

- Procedural definition based on wavefronts.
- Characterization of S(P)-based roofs among all constant-slope roofs on top of polygons P [Aichholzer et al., 1995].
- Lower envelope characterization of S(G) by linear functions on restricted domains that depending on the generalized motorcycle graph of G [Huber and Held, 2012].

# Characterization: basic facts

#### Facts

- ► If a vertex of S(H) has degree two then it coincides with a degree-one vertex of H. All other vertices have degree three or higher.
- ► Every face of S(H) contains exactly one segment of H, except for faces generated by degree-one vertices of H.
- Every edge of H begins and ends at an edge of S(H).



# Characterization: basic facts

#### Facts

- ► If a vertex of S(H) has degree two then it coincides with a degree-one vertex of H. All other vertices have degree three or higher.
- ► Every face of S(H) contains exactly one segment of H, except for faces generated by degree-one vertices of H.
- Every edge of H begins and ends at an edge of S(H).



**Temporary assumption:** *G* has no degree-2 vertices.

Let G be the putative straight skeleton and F the set of faces of G.



A solution to GMP-SS can be denoted as a mapping  $\lambda \colon F \to \mathcal{L}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of lines.

Let G be the putative straight skeleton and F the set of faces of G.



A solution to GMP-SS can be denoted as a mapping  $\lambda \colon F \to \mathcal{L}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of lines.

#### Definition (Inside-condition)

 $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-condition if  $\sigma(f) := \lambda(f) \cap f$  is a single line segment for all  $f \in F$ .

We construct *H* as the graph whose edges are  $\sigma(f)$ , with  $f \in F$ .

GMP-SS reformulated: Is there a λ for G that fulfills the inside-condition and for which S(H) = G?



We construct *H* as the graph whose edges are  $\sigma(f)$ , with  $f \in F$ .

GMP-SS reformulated: Is there a λ for G that fulfills the inside-condition and for which S(H) = G?



- ▶ For a *G* and  $\lambda$  we denote by  $G^* := G \cup H$  and by  $F^*$  the faces of  $G^*$ .
  - Every face of G contains two faces of G<sup>\*</sup>.
  - We reuse  $\lambda(f)$  and  $\sigma(f)$  for faces of  $G^*$  accordingly.

# Characterization: sweeping-condition

#### Definition (Sweeping-condition)

A face f of  $G^*$  fulfills the sweeping-condition if

- 1. f is monotone w.r.t.  $\lambda(f)$  and
- 2. at the lower chain, the distance to  $\lambda(f)$  is increasing, when moving away from  $\sigma(f)$ .
- $\lambda$  fulfills the sweeping-condition if all faces of  ${\it G}^*$  fulfill it.



# Characterization: bisector-condition

#### Definition (Bisector-condition)

The edge  $e = f \cap f'$  fulfills the bisector-condition if e lies on the bisector of  $\lambda(f)$  and  $\lambda(f')$ .



 $\lambda$  fulfills the bisector-condition if all edges of G fulfill the bisector-condition.

# Characterization

#### Lemma

If  $\lambda$  solves GMP-SS then  $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-, sweeping-, and bisector-condition.

*Proof.* Inside- and bisector-condition: by definition of straight skeletons. Sweeping-condition:

- Monotonicity by [Aichholzer et al., 1995].
- Lower chain is even convex by [Huber, 2012].

# Characterization

#### Lemma

If  $\lambda$  solves GMP-SS then  $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-, sweeping-, and bisector-condition.

*Proof.* Inside- and bisector-condition: by definition of straight skeletons. Sweeping-condition:

- Monotonicity by [Aichholzer et al., 1995].
- Lower chain is even convex by [Huber, 2012].

#### Theorem

If  $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-, sweeping-, and bisector-condition then  $\lambda$  solves GMP-SS.

#### Recognizing straight skeletons

**Key method:** We successively reflect lines  $\lambda(f)$  at edges of f.

- Assume we know a suitable  $\lambda(f)$  for one face f.
- Bisector-condition: we know  $\lambda(f')$  for a neighboring face f', too.
- Going along a spanning tree of the dual of G, we know  $\lambda(f')$  for all  $f' \in F$ .

#### Recognizing straight skeletons

**Key method:** We successively reflect lines  $\lambda(f)$  at edges of f.

- Assume we know a suitable  $\lambda(f)$  for one face f.
- ▶ Bisector-condition: we know  $\lambda(f')$  for a neighboring face f', too.
- Going along a spanning tree of the dual of G, we know  $\lambda(f')$  for all  $f' \in F$ .
  - ▶ Hence, degree-2 vertices of *G* make the problem easy.



#### Recognizing straight skeletons

**Key method:** We successively reflect lines  $\lambda(f)$  at edges of f.

- Assume we know a suitable  $\lambda(f)$  for one face f.
- Bisector-condition: we know  $\lambda(f')$  for a neighboring face f', too.
- Going along a spanning tree of the dual of G, we know  $\lambda(f')$  for all  $f' \in F$ .
  - ▶ Hence, degree-2 vertices of *G* make the problem easy.



• "Local view" at a vertex v of G with incident ray-edges  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ .





- "Local view" at a vertex v of G with incident ray-edges  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ .
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Find  $\lambda$  that fulfills inside-, (sweeping-), and bisector-condition.
- ▶ Bisector-condition:  $\Phi_{b_2 \circ \cdots \circ b_d \circ b_1}$  needs to be the identity function.



- "Local view" at a vertex v of G with incident ray-edges  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ .
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Find  $\lambda$  that fulfills inside-, (sweeping-), and bisector-condition.
- ▶ Bisector-condition:  $\Phi_{b_2 \circ \cdots \circ b_d \circ b_1}$  needs to be the identity function.



- "Local view" at a vertex v of G with incident ray-edges  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ .
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Find  $\lambda$  that fulfills inside-, (sweeping-), and bisector-condition.
- ▶ Bisector-condition:  $\Phi_{b_2 \circ \cdots \circ b_d \circ b_1}$  needs to be the identity function.



- "Local view" at a vertex v of G with incident ray-edges  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ .
  - Find  $\lambda$  that fulfills inside-, (sweeping-), and bisector-condition.
- ▶ Bisector-condition:  $\Phi_{b_2 \circ \cdots \circ b_d \circ b_1}$  needs to be the identity function.



- "Local view" at a vertex v of G with incident ray-edges  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ .
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Find  $\lambda$  that fulfills inside-, (sweeping-), and bisector-condition.
- ▶ Bisector-condition:  $\Phi_{b_2 \circ \cdots \circ b_d \circ b_1}$  needs to be the identity function.



We get  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_i + (-1)^d \alpha$  and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(-1)^{d-i}\beta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Therese Biedl, Martin Held, Stefan Huber: Recognizing Straight Skeletons and Voronoi Diagrams

(1)

We get  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_i + (-1)^d \alpha$  and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(-1)^{d-i}\beta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

#### Definition (Balance-condition, $\ell(f, v)$ )

The vertex v with even degree d fulfills the balance-condition if  $\beta_d - \beta_{d-1} + \cdots + \beta_2 - \beta_1 = 0.$ 

For vertices of odd degree d we define  $\ell(f,v)$  as



(1)

#### Lemma

 $\Phi_{b_1 \circ \cdots \circ b_d}(I) = I$  if and only if

 $\begin{cases} v \text{ fulfills the balance-condition} & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ l = \ell(f, v) \lor l \perp \ell(f, v) \text{ for some } f \in F \text{ with } v \in f & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$ 

(2)

The previous lemma imposes constraints on  $\lambda$  for the vertices of *G*:

$$\ell(f) := \{I \in \mathcal{L} : I \cap \operatorname{int} f \neq \emptyset\} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{v \text{ is vertex of } f \\ \deg(v) \text{ is odd}}} \{\ell(f, v)\} \cup \ell(f, v)^{\perp}.$$
(3)

We propagate the per-face constraints to a single face:

The previous lemma imposes constraints on  $\lambda$  for the vertices of *G*:

$$\ell(f) := \{ l \in \mathcal{L} : \ l \cap \text{int} \ f \neq \emptyset \} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{v \text{ is vertex of } f \\ \deg(v) \text{ is odd}}} \{\ell(f, v)\} \cup \ell(f, v)^{\perp}.$$
(3)

We propagate the per-face constraints to a single face:

• Choose a spanning tree T of the dual of G, with a root face r.

The previous lemma imposes constraints on  $\lambda$  for the vertices of *G*:

$$\ell(f) := \{ I \in \mathcal{L} : I \cap \operatorname{int} f \neq \emptyset \} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{v \text{ is vertex of } f \\ \deg(v) \text{ is odd}}} \{ \ell(f, v) \} \cup \ell(f, v)^{\perp}.$$
(3)

We propagate the per-face constraints to a single face:

- Choose a spanning tree T of the dual of G, with a root face r.
- Denote by  $f \rightsquigarrow^T r$  the sequence of edges in T from f to r and define

$$f^r := \Phi_{f \leadsto^{\mathcal{T}} r}(f) \tag{4}$$

$$\ell^{r}(f) := \Phi_{f \leadsto^{T} r}(I(f))$$
(5)

$$X := \bigcap_{f \in F} \ell^r(f).$$
(6)

#### Theorem

GMP-SS for G has a solution if and only if

- the balance-condition holds for all vertices of even degree and
- there is a line  $I \in X$  such that for all  $f \in F$ 
  - I ∩ f<sup>r</sup> is a single segment and
  - the components of  $f^r \setminus I$  fulfill the sweeping-condition.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between such lines  $I \in X$  and solutions to GMP-SS.

Proof sketch:

- Take a suitable *I* and define  $\lambda(f) := \Phi_{r \rightsquigarrow T_f}(I)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  To show:  $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-, bisector- and sweeping-condition.
  - Inside- and sweeping-condition are fulfilled by assumption.
  - Bisector-condition for (duals of) edges in T as well.

Proof sketch:

- Take a suitable *I* and define  $\lambda(f) := \Phi_{r \rightsquigarrow T_f}(I)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  To show:  $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-, bisector- and sweeping-condition.
  - Inside- and sweeping-condition are fulfilled by assumption.
  - ▶ Bisector-condition for (duals of) edges in *T* as well.
- **Claim:** edges not in *T* fulfill the bisector-condition as well.

Proof sketch:

- Take a suitable *I* and define  $\lambda(f) := \Phi_{r \rightsquigarrow T_f}(I)$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  To show:  $\lambda$  fulfills the inside-, bisector- and sweeping-condition.
  - Inside- and sweeping-condition are fulfilled by assumption.
  - Bisector-condition for (duals of) edges in T as well.
- ▶ Claim: edges not in *T* fulfill the bisector-condition as well.
- ▶ Stronger claim: Let *W* be any walk in the dual of *G* from *r* to *j*. Then  $\Phi_W(\lambda(r)) = \lambda(j)$ . That is, it does not matter how we choose *T*.



#### Reconstructing the input: algorithm

We are given G and want to find a suitable  $\lambda$ , i.e., a suitable  $l \in X$ .

- Check that balance-condition holds at every even-degree vertex.
- We compute *T*, all *f<sup>r</sup>* = Φ<sub>f→<sup>T</sup>r</sub>(*f*) and all ℓ<sup>r</sup>(*f*, *v*) = Φ<sub>f→<sup>T</sup>r</sub>(ℓ(*f*, *r*)) in total linear time.

A D F A A F F

#### Reconstructing the input: algorithm

We are given G and want to find a suitable  $\lambda$ , i.e., a suitable  $l \in X$ .

- Check that balance-condition holds at every even-degree vertex.
- We compute *T*, all *f<sup>r</sup>* = Φ<sub>f→<sup>T</sup>r</sub>(*f*) and all ℓ<sup>r</sup>(*f*, *v*) = Φ<sub>f→<sup>T</sup>r</sub>(ℓ(*f*, *r*)) in total linear time.
- Case 1: All vertices have even degree.
  - By the balance-condition all faces are convex.
  - Any line intersecting  $f^r$  intersects in a segment and sweeps  $f^r$ .
  - ► Using [Edelsbrunner et al., 1989] and [Hershberger, 1989] we find all lines *l* traversing all int f<sup>r</sup> in O(n log n) time.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Reconstructing the input: algorithm

We are given G and want to find a suitable  $\lambda$ , i.e., a suitable  $l \in X$ .

- Check that balance-condition holds at every even-degree vertex.
- We compute *T*, all *f<sup>r</sup>* = Φ<sub>f→<sup>T</sup>r</sub>(*f*) and all ℓ<sup>r</sup>(*f*, *v*) = Φ<sub>f→<sup>T</sup>r</sub>(ℓ(*f*, *r*)) in total linear time.
- Case 1: All vertices have even degree.
  - By the balance-condition all faces are convex.
  - Any line intersecting  $f^r$  intersects in a segment and sweeps  $f^r$ .
  - ► Using [Edelsbrunner et al., 1989] and [Hershberger, 1989] we find all lines *l* traversing all int f<sup>r</sup> in O(n log n) time.
- **Case 2:** At least one vertex *v* has odd degree.
  - A suitable *I* has fixed direction: identical or perpendicular to  $\ell^r(f, v)$ .
  - For every f'', the inside- and sweeping-conditions restrict all suitable *l* to a single line or to an "interval" of lines in total O(n) time.



#### Reconstructing the input

#### Theorem

GMP-SS can be solved and the set of solutions can be found in  $O(n \log n)$  time of a  $PSLG^{\infty}$  G with n edges.

ヨヨー わすゆ

Image: A math the second se

#### Problem (GMP-VD)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a set S of points such that  $\mathcal{V}(S) = G$ ?



イロト イヨト イヨト イ

#### Problem (GMP-VD)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a set S of points such that  $\mathcal{V}(S) = G$ ?



メロト メポト メヨト メヨ

#### Problem (GMP-VD)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a set S of points such that  $\mathcal{V}(S) = G$ ?



#### Prior work:

▶ [Ash and Bolker, 1985]: Solve GMP-VD if all vertices have odd degree.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Problem (GMP-VD)

Given a PSLG<sup> $\infty$ </sup> G, can we find a set S of points such that  $\mathcal{V}(S) = G$ ?



#### Prior work:

- ▶ [Ash and Bolker, 1985]: Solve GMP-VD if all vertices have odd degree.
- ▶ [Hartvigsen, 1992]: Solve GMP-VD by means of linear programming.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

# Characterization of Voronoi diagrams

We denote a solution of GMP-VD as a mapping  $\rho \colon F \to \mathbb{R}^2$ .

• We look for  $\rho$  such that  $\mathcal{V}(\{\rho(f): f \in F\}) = G$ .

#### Lemma ([Ash and Bolker, 1985])

 $\rho$  solves GMP-VD if

- Inside-condition:  $\rho(f) \in \inf f$  for all  $f \in F$ .
- Bisector-condition: for any edge e incident to faces f, f', e is on the bisector of ρ(f), ρ(f').

Image: A match the second s

# Recognizing Voronoi diagrams

#### Lemma

 $\Phi_{b_1 \circ \cdots \circ b_d}(p) = p$  if and only if

 $\begin{cases} v \text{ fulfills the balance-condition} & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ p \in \ell(f, v) \text{ for some } f \in F \text{ with } v \in f & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$ 



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

(7)

# Recognizing Voronoi diagrams

#### Lemma

 $\Phi_{b_1 \circ \cdots \circ b_d}(p) = p$  if and only if

 $\begin{cases} v \text{ fulfills the balance-condition} & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ p \in \ell(f, v) \text{ for some } f \in F \text{ with } v \in f & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$ 



We again define

$$S(f) := (\text{int } f) \cap \bigcap_{\substack{v \text{ is vertex of } f \\ \deg(v) \text{ is odd}}} \ell(f, v) \quad \text{Easily becomes a single point.}$$
(8)  
$$X := \bigcap_{f \in F} \Phi_{f \leadsto} \tau_r(S(f)) \quad \text{Every point implies a solution } \rho.$$
(9)

(7)

# Conclusion

Characterization of straight skeletons:

- Deeper insight in the geometry and structure of S(H).
- Allows for necessary and sufficient O(n) time a-posteriori checks of the validity of S(H) in straight-skeleton codes.

We solve GMP-SS and GMP-VD on G

- using a unified framework based on reflections on edges of a spanning tree of the dual of G
- in  $O(n \log n)$  time.
- ► First result for GMP-SS.
- Closes a gap in [Ash and Bolker, 1985] for GMP-VD when vertices have even degree.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

# Bibliography I



Aichholzer, O., Alberts, D., Aurenhammer, F., and Gärtner, B. (1995). A novel type of skeleton for polygons. *J. Universal Comp. Sci.*, 1(12):752–761.



Straight skeletons for general polygonal figures in the plane.

In Samoilenko, A., editor, *Voronoi's Impact on Modern Science, Book 2*, pages 7–21. Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine.

Aichholzer, O., Cheng, H., Devadoss, S. L., Hackl, T., Huber, S., Li, B., and Risteski, A. (2012).

What makes a tree a straight skeleton?

In Proc. 24th Canad. Conf. on Comp. Geom. (CCCG '12), pages 267–272, Charlottetown, Canada.

Ash, P. and Bolker, E. (1985).

Recognizing Dirichlet Tesselations.

Geometriae Dedicata, 19:175-206.

A D F A A F F

# Bibliography II



```
Edelsbrunner, H., Guibas, L., and Sharir, M. (1989).
```

The Upper Envelope of Piecewise Linear Functions: Algorithms and Applications. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 4(1):311–336.

Hartvigsen, D. (1992). Recognizing Voronoi Diagrams with Linear Programming.

ORSA J. Computing, 4(4):369–374.



Hershberger, J. (1989).

Finding the Upper Envelope of *n* Line Segments in  $O(n \log n)$  Time. Inform. Process. Lett., 33(4):169–174.



Huber, S. (2012).

Computing Straight Skeletons and Motorcycle Graphs: Theory and Practice. Shaker Verlag. ISBN 978-3-8440-0938-5.

#### Huber, S. and Held, M. (2012).

A fast straight-skeleton algorithm based on generalized motorcycle graphs. *Int. J. of Comp. Geom. & Appl.*, 22(5):471–498.

ELE DOO

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

**Key idea:** G and S(H) each impose a wavefront-propagation process,  $W_G(t)$  and  $W_{S(H)}(t)$ .

Claim: they are identical

**Key idea:** G and S(H) each impose a wavefront-propagation process,  $W_G(t)$  and  $W_{S(H)}(t)$ .

Claim: they are identical

#### Observation

Let e be an edge of  $f, f' \in F^*$ . Then  $\lambda_t^*(f) \cap e = \lambda_t^*(f') \cap e$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

**Key idea:** G and S(H) each impose a wavefront-propagation process,  $W_G(t)$  and  $W_{S(H)}(t)$ .

Claim: they are identical

#### Observation

Let e be an edge of  $f, f' \in F^*$ . Then  $\lambda_t^*(f) \cap e = \lambda_t^*(f') \cap e$ .

#### Observation

Let v be a vertex with incident faces  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in F^*$ . Then v has same orthogonal distance to all  $\lambda(f_i)$ .

**Key idea:** G and S(H) each impose a wavefront-propagation process,  $W_G(t)$  and  $W_{S(H)}(t)$ .

Claim: they are identical

#### Observation

Let e be an edge of  $f, f' \in F^*$ . Then  $\lambda_t^*(f) \cap e = \lambda_t^*(f') \cap e$ .

#### Observation

Let v be a vertex with incident faces  $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in F^*$ . Then v has same orthogonal distance to all  $\lambda(f_i)$ .

#### Lemma

The initial wavefronts  $W_G(\epsilon)$  and  $W_{\mathcal{S}(H)}(\epsilon)$  are identical.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Lemma

Assume that  $W_G(t') = W_{\mathcal{S}(H)}(t')$  for 0 < t' < t.

- If  $W_G(t)$  hits a vertex v of  $G^*$ , then v coincides with a vertex of S(H).
- Analogously for  $W_{\mathcal{S}(H)}$ .

<ロ> <四> <四> <豆> <豆> <豆> <豆> <豆> <豆> <豆> <豆</p>

#### Lemma

Assume that  $W_G(t') = W_{\mathcal{S}(H)}(t')$  for 0 < t' < t.

- If  $W_G(t)$  hits a vertex v of  $G^*$ , then v coincides with a vertex of S(H).
- Analogously for  $W_{\mathcal{S}(H)}$ .

#### Theorem

 $\mathcal{W}_{G}(t) = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}(H)}(t)$  for all t.

#### Proof. [Sketch]

- ▶ By induction on the chronological order when W<sub>G</sub> resp. W<sub>S(H)</sub> hits a vertex v of G resp. S(H).
- > In a neighborhood of v we have swept and not-yet-swept cones.
- Insight: In the not-yet-swept cones contain each exactly one "outgoing" edge of G resp. S(H).
- Claim: these edges are identical in the neighborhood of v.

# Non-unique solutions to GMP-SS and GMP-VD

